United States District Court Southern District of Texas FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

JUL - 6 2000

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,	§ §	Michael N. Milby, Clerk
Plaintiff,	S S	CIVIL ACTION NO.
V.	S S	CA-00-125
NATIONWIDE HOUSING SYSTEMS, INC.,	§ §	
Defendant.	§ §	

## DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT THEREOF

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

COMES NOW Nationwide Housing Systems, Inc. ("Nationwide"), Defendant in the above-entitled and numbered cause, and files this its Motion for Sanctions and Brief in Support Thereof, respectfully stating as follows:

I.

### BACKGROUND

On March 27, 2000, Plaintiff filed its Original Complaint alleging that Nationwide engaged in unlawful conduct under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act. On the same day the Court issued its Order for Conference and Disclosure of Interested Parties (the "Order for Conference"). Among other things, the Order for Conference directed the parties to appear before the Court on May 24, 2000 for an initial pretrial and scheduling conference. Paragraph 6 of the Order for Conference also directed counsel for Plaintiff to serve a copy of the Order on Nationwide with the summons.

ClibPDF - www.fastio.com

On May 24, 2000, Plaintiff did not appear for or reschedule the pretrial and scheduling conference. Because neither Nationwide nor its counsel were served with or informed of the Order for Conference, Nationwide did not appear. Paragraph 10 of the Order of Conference provides that noncompliance with its requirements "may result in sanctions, including dismissal of the action and assessment of fees and costs." Based on Plaintiff's failure to appear, the Court dismissed this action with prejudice for want of prosecution by Order and Final Judgment, dated May 24, 2000.

On June 1, 2000, Plaintiff filed its Motion for Reinstatement (the "Motion"), requesting that the Court set aside its Final Judgment. Nationwide filed an expedited response in opposition to the Motion, urging the Court to deny the requested relief. On June 20 and 22, 2000, the Court conducted a hearing on the Motion. The Court indicated that it was inclined to reinstate the case but also inclined to provide relief to Nationwide in the form of recovery of attorney's fees.

On June 22, 2000, the Court granted the Motion and set a hearing on the attorney's fee issue. Pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Nationwide submits this Motion for Sanctions, respectfully requesting that the Court award Nationwide its attorney's fees and costs incurred in connection

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>See</u> Affidavit of Anita Alessandra submitted in support of Defendant's Expedited Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Reinstatement, at ¶ 2, which is incorporated herein by reference.

with Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Order for Conference, the resulting dismissal of the case, and the subsequent reinstatement process.

II.

#### ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITIES

Rule 16(f) authorizes the imposition of sanctions where a party "fails to obey a scheduling or pretrial order, or if no appearance is made on behalf of a party at a scheduling or pretrial conference...." The Rule further states that, "[i]n lieu of or in addition to any other sanction, the judge shall require the party...to pay the reasonable expenses incurred because of any noncompliance with this rule, including attorney's fees...." Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(f). Consistent with these provisions, the Order for Conference issued by this Court specifically provides that failure to comply with its requirements "may result in sanctions, including...assessment of fees and costs." (emphasis added).

Awards of attorney's fees and costs under Rule 16(f) have been made and upheld. In Gayden v. Galveston County Texas, 178 F.R.D. 134 (S.D. Tex. 1998), the plaintiff's suit was dismissed following the failure of plaintiff or his counsel to appear for a scheduled docket call. The plaintiff then filed a motion to alter or amend the judgment and urged the district court to reconsider its dismissal. Upon consideration, the court reinstated the lawsuit but, pursuant to Rule 16(f), required the plaintiff to reimburse the defendant's attorney's fees and costs in the amount of \$2,500.00 and pay \$5,000.00 as a fine to the

court. Reinstatement was subject to payment of those amounts. See Gayden, 178 F.R.D. at 137-39; see also John v. State of Louisiana, 899 F.2d 1441, 1448 (5th Cir. 1990) (approving award of \$11,337.90 in attorney's fees and costs under Rule 16(f) and noting that a showing of prejudice is not required to justify sanctions).

Here, there is no dispute that Plaintiff violated the Court's Order for Conference by failing to provide a copy to Defendant and by failing to appear for the scheduled pretrial conference. Such conduct directly resulted in the dismissal of this action and the subsequent filing of Plaintiff's Motion for Reinstatement. By way of justification, Plaintiff attributed its noncompliance with the Order of Conference to an alleged and unspecified "administrative error" for which no evidentiary support was provided. While the Court reconstrued the basis for and ultimately granted the Motion, Nationwide has, as a result of Plaintiff's errant conduct, incurred attorney's fees and costs in the amount of \$4,762.50. <u>See</u> Affidavit of Anita Alessandra, attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference, at ¶¶ 1-5. As mandated by Rule 16(f) and the Order for Conference, Nationwide seeks reimbursement of this amount from Plaintiff. Such an award would make Nationwide whole and further Rule 16's qoal of "encourag[ing] forceful judicial management." John, 899 F.2d at 1448 (citing Advisory Committee on Rules, Notes to 1983 Amendment: Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(a)(2), (4) & (5)).

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant Nationwide Housing Systems, Inc. prays that its Motion for Sanctions be in all things granted; that it be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees

in the amount of \$4,762.50 incurred responding to Plaintiff's Motion for Reinstatement; and for such other and further relief, whether general or special, at law or in equity, to which Nationwide may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

By: William O. Ashcraft, Esq.

Attorney-in-Charge

Texas Bar No. 01372800

Southern District of Texas No. 11654

Anita M. Alessandra, Esq.

Texas Bar No. 00988000

Southern District of Texas No. 13936

R. Jeff Worth, Esq.

Texas Bar No. 00795732

(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)

ASHCRAFT LAW FIRM, P.C.

8750 North Central Expressway

Suite 1070

Dallas, Texas 75231

Telephone: (214) 987-0660

Facsimile: (214) 987-3847

Tom M. Harrison, Esq.

Texas Bar No. 09122300

Southern District of Texas No. 1891

Roxana V. Shia, Esq.

Texas Bar No. 00785146

Southern District of Texas No. 15608

HORNBLOWER, MANNING & WARD, P.C.

711 North Carancahua

Suite 1810

Corpus Christi, Texas 78475

Telephone: (361) 888-8041

Facsimile: (361) 888-8222

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

NATIONWIDE HOUSING SYSTEMS, INC.

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Defendant's Motion for Sanctions and Brief in Support Thereof, was served by facsimile and certified mail, return receipt requested, upon counsel of record for Plaintiff on this 54/1 day of July 2000, as follows:

R. Chris Pittard, Esq.
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION
San Antonio District Office
5410 Fredericksburg Road, Suite 200
San Antonio, Texas 78229-3555/)

Anita M. Alessandra

A

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,	§ §	
Plaintiff,	§ §	
v.	§ §	CIVIL ACTION NO. CA-00-125
NATIONWIDE HOUSING SYSTEMS,	§ §	
INC.,	S	
Defendant.	§ §	

### AFFIDAVIT OF ANITA M. ALESSANDRA

STATE OF TEXAS S
COUNTY OF DALLAS

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Anita M. Alessandra, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed hereto, and after first being duly sworn according to law, upon her oath, did depose and state as follows:

- 1. "My name is Anita M. Alessandra. I am above twenty-one (21) years of age and am fully competent to testify in this suit. I have personal knowledge of all matters contained in this Affidavit, and all such matters are true and correct. I make this Affidavit in support of an award of attorneys' fees in the amount of \$4,762.50.
- 2. "I am a licensed attorney at the Ashcraft Law Firm, and I represent Defendant Nationwide Housing Systems, Inc. ("Nationwide") in the above-entitled and numbered cause. Acting in this capacity, I have personal knowledge regarding the rate and amount of attorneys' fees incurred for my firm's legal services in connection with Nationwide's response to Plaintiff's Motion for

Reinstatement and for representation of Nationwide in the related court proceedings.

- 3. "The Ashcraft Law Firm has expended 28 hours in connection with its response to Plaintiff's Motion for Reinstatement. The services rendered include: review and analysis of the Motion for Reinstatement; review and analysis of the legal authorities cited by Plaintiff in support of its motion; review and analysis of legal authorities relating to the standard and rules applicable to the Court's review of the motion; preparation of Nationwide's Expedited Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Reinstatement; conferences with Plaintiff's counsel regarding the motion and factual circumstances precipitating the filing of the motion; preparation for and attendance at the hearing on the Motion for Reinstatement; and preparation of Defendant's Motion for Sanctions.
- 4. "Based upon the hourly rate of the attorneys involved and the number of hours expended, the total amount of attorneys' fees incurred to date by Nationwide for the above-described legal services is \$4,762.50. It is my opinion that these fees are customary for the same or similar services for attorneys with my firm's experience, reputation and ability, considering the amount and type of controversy at issue, the time limitations imposed, the results obtained and the nature and length of my firm's relationship with Nationwide.
- 5. "Accordingly, a total award in favor of Nationwide of \$4,762.50 would constitute reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees incurred in connection with Nationwide's response to the Motion for Reinstatement."

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Anita M. Alessandra

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME on this  $\frac{4}{5}$  day of July 2000, to certify which witness my hand and seal of office.



Notary Public in and for the State of Texas

My Commission Expires:

7-6-03

ClibPDF - www.fastio.com

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY	S	
COMMISSION,	S	
	S	
Plaintiff,	S	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO.
V.	§	CA-00-125
	§	
NATIONWIDE HOUSING SYSTEMS,	§	
INC.,	§	
	S	
Defendant.	§	

### ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS

CAME ON TO BE CONSIDERED Defendant's Motion for Sanctions ("Motion") submitted in the above-entitled and numbered cause. The Court, having considered the Motion, the arguments of counsel, and the pleadings and papers on file herein, is of the opinion that the Motion is with merit and should be GRANTED. It is therefore

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Defendant's Motion for Sanctions is in all things GRANTED. It is further

	ORDERI	ΞD,	ADJU	DGED	and	DECF	REED	that	Pla	ainti	ff	shal	1	pay	to
Natio	onwide	Hou	sing	Syst	ems,	Inc.	mon	etary	' sai	nctio	ns	in t	he	amoı	ınt
of \$	· · · · · ·				wi	thin	thi:	rty (	30)	days	of	the	<b>e</b> c	late	of
this Order, for which let execution issue.															
	SIGNEI	on	this	5	da	ay of	<del></del>			·	_, 2	2000.			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE